

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287546
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287546
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The PfE plan is based upon the GMSF however, PfE. Para 1.23 states "The changes made between GMSF 2020 and PfE 2021 are not insignificant in numerical terms, indeed all sections of the plan have seen some form of change."</p> <p>Whilst the GMSF was compliant with section 18 of the Town and Country planning regulations the substantial changes within to the PfE do not infer it has the same compliance.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The PfE must prove in its own right that it is compliant with section 18 of the Town and Country planning regulations.
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287546
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

<p>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</p>	<p>1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>***THE ABOVE CHOICE OBJECTIVES ON THE WEBSITE ARE NOT FUNCTIONING CORRECTLY ***</p> <p>How is the availability of affordable housing going to be guaranteed when left to private developers. Too many times in the past this has been circumvented.</p> <p>The housing demand survey is reliant on old figures from 2014, a more up to date housing survey is required. In the last 7 years a lot has happened in the World. This survey was done before Brexit and before a global pandemic. Many people are now working from home for the foreseeable future and moving out of cities and towns into more rural areas.</p> <p>Around Bury where I live a lot of Brownfield sites have now become available over the last few years which would be more ideal house building land rather than using our precious greenbelt spaces. I'm sure this is also repeated throughout the other boroughs. This land is obviously not been prioritised as said in the document.</p> <p>Also, since the original housing need policy was investigated a climate emergency has developed. Surely the need for precious greenbelt land has increased to counter the affects of the climate catastrophe we are now facing.</p> <p>Looking at the plans relating to my area, (Bury) the removal of the greenbelt land has the affect of joining up the urban sprawl and taking away the last few green areas in a large section of the district. How is this beneficial to the existing residents in the area?</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>A new housing demand survey is required with up to date figures.</p> <p>A review and prioritisation of the newly released brownfield sites needs to be done.</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Holder</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287546
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Also, since the original housing need policy was investigated a climate emergency has developed. Surely the need for precious greenbelt land has increased to counter the affects of the climate catastrophe we are now facing. Looking at the plans relating to my area, (Bury) the removal of the greenbelt land has the affect of joining up the urban sprawl and taking away the last few green areas in a large section of the district. How is this beneficial to the existing residents in the area?
Family Name	Holder
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287546
Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Also, since the original housing need policy was investigated a climate emergency has developed. Surely the need for precious greenbelt land has increased to counter the affects of the climate catastrophe we are now facing. Looking at the plans relating to my area, (Bury) the removal of the greenbelt land has the affect of joining up the urban sprawl and taking away the last few green areas in a large section of the district. How is this beneficial to the existing residents in the area?